## 

1	BARRY J. PORTMAN
2	Federal Public Defender VARELL L. FULLER
3	Assistant Federal Public Defender 160 West Santa Clara Street, Suite 575
4	San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone: (408) 291-7753
5	Counsel for Defendant MARY SANTOS MORALES
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	TOR THE NORTHER DISTRICT OF CITEM OR ALL
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) No. CR 09-01151-JF
11	Plaintiff, ) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING HEARING DATE
12	vs. ) AND EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE ) SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
13	MARY SANTOS MORALES,
14	Defendants.
15	)
16	<b>STIPULATION</b>
17	Defendant Mary Santos Morales, by and through Assistant Federal Public Defender
18	Varell L. Fuller, and the United States, by and through Assistant United States Attorney Jeffrey
19	B. Schenk, hereby stipulate that, with the Court's approval, that the status hearing currently set
20	for Thursday, May 20, 2010 at 9:00 a.m., shall be continued to Thursday, June 24, 2010, 2010 at
21	9:00 a.m.
22	The reason for the continuance is the parties require additional time to resolve an
23	immigration issue relevant to the proposed resolution and defense counsel's effective
24	preparation.
25	The parties agree that the time between May 20, 2010 and June 24, 2010 is excludable
26	under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv), for effective preparation by
	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Continuing Hearing 1

## 

1	defense counsel.
2	Dated: May 18, 2010
3	VARELL L. FULLER Assistant Federal Public Defender
5	
6	Dated  JEFFREY B. SCHENK Assistant United States Attorney
7	
8	<del>[PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER
9	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, upon stipulation of the parties, IT IS HEREBY
10	ORDERED that the hearing currently set for Thursday, May 20, 2010, shall be continued to
11	Thursday, June 24, 2010, at 9:00 a.m.
12 13	THE COURT FINDS that failing to exclude the time between May 20, 2010, and June
14	24, 2010, would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective
15	preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. §
16	3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
17	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the ends of justice served by excluding the time
18	between May 20, 2010, and June 24, 2010, from computation under the Speedy Trial Act
19	outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
20	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time between May 20, 2010, and
21	June 24, 2010, shall be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §
22	3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.
24	Dated: 5/28/10 THE HONORABLE EREMY FOGEL
25	United States District Court Judge
26	